

To: CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
From: CN=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Mon 6/27/2011 3:41:24 PM
Subject: Re: Federal Lead Agency Attendees (UNCLASSIFIED)
mailto:David_Nawi@ios.doi.gov
<mailto:ashah@hgcpm.com>
<mailto:jalwan@hgcpm.com>

That makes sense to me. I expected that he would understand that much by now.

----- Original Message -----

From: Erin Foresman
Sent: 06/27/2011 08:29 AM PDT
To: Karen Schwinn
Subject: Re: Federal Lead Agency Attendees (UNCLASSIFIED)

Well, I wasn't sure either so I let them know that my calendar was open but didn't take it further. I think it would be good for us to be there especially if the Corps would like us to be there. I just wanted to check in with wrt time constraints and your priorities.

I think I understand his question.

My answer is that integrating ESA and CWA under NEPA on this project requires us to identify a purpose and need for the programmatic aspects of the BDCP and the one site-specific project level element, the Delta Conveyanced. We recommend doing this because one NEPA document can then serve as the support document on which decisions by ESA and CWA (and RHA) action agencies can be based. For CWA-ESA integration under NEPA, one of the important decisions is the alternative most likely to contain the LEDPA. If the BDCP project applicants would like to identify a preferred alternative in the Draft EIS, our group needs to work together using the MOU to make sure the preferred alternative for the Delta Conveyance identified under ESA in the draft EIS is the alternative most likely to contain the LEDPA for CWA.

Does that make sense to you?

Erin Foresman
Environmental Scientist & Policy Coordinator,
US EPA Region 9 C/O Army Corps of Engineers
650 Capitol Mall Suite 5-200, Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: (916) 557 5253, Fax: (916) 930 9506

<http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/watershed/sfbay-delta/index.html>

From: Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US
To: Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/27/2011 08:18 AM
Subject: Re: Federal Lead Agency Attendees (UNCLASSIFIED)

Yes ok with me that you attend - I didn't think we were invited.

Do you understand Paul's question re: LEDPA?

----- Original Message -----

From: Erin Foresman

Sent: 06/27/2011 07:56 AM PDT

To: Karen Schwinn

Subject: Fw: Federal Lead Agency Attendees (UNCLASSIFIED)

Hi Karen,

Paul R. forwarded the message (two below) from Nawi about the 5-agency meeting tomorrow to Nepstad and I last week. Nepstad can't go so I let them know I could go. This was before I received the same message from you. Is it okay with you if I attend? I'll respond to Paul after I hear from you.

Erin Foresman

Environmental Scientist & Policy Coordinator,

US EPA Region 9 C/O Army Corps of Engineers

650 Capitol Mall Suite 5-200, Sacramento, CA 95814

Phone: (916) 557 5253, Fax: (916) 930 9506

<http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/watershed/sfbay-delta/index.html>

----- Forwarded by Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US on 06/27/2011 07:54 AM -----

From: "Robershotte, Paul J SPD" <Paul.J.Robershotte@usace.army.mil>

To: Erin Foresman/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: "Nepstad, Michael G SPK" <Michael.G.Nepstad@usace.army.mil>

Date: 06/24/2011 01:54 PM

Subject: FW: Federal Lead Agency Attendees (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Caveats: NONE

Erin

In a call this morning, David Nawi again made the plea that the Corps attend this meeting next Tuesday morning with ICF. I told him I would try to call in, that Nepstad was in Long Beach and that I believed you were going to attend. Do I have it right?

On a related topic, I need to better understand the connection of the NEPA EIR/EIS to the LEDPA for the Site Specific conveyance (North Delta Diversion) for the Section 404 Permit decision (Item 4 on page 3 of the MOU and its LEDPA tie in to the EIR/EIS serving as means for NEPA & CEQA compliance).

Thanks

Paul

-----Orig <<image002.jpg>> inal Message-----

From: Nawi, David [mailto:David_Nawi@ios.doi.gov]
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 3:43 PM
To: Robershotte, Paul J SPD; Nepstad, Michael G SPK; Jewell, Michael S SPK
Cc: Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov; Hagler.Tom@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: FW: Federal Lead Agency Attendees

I think it would make sense for someone from the Corps to attend the meeting below (it is in the a.m.). This will be an important meeting to discuss with the new consultant (ICF) the process and timing for moving ahead with the BDCP Effects Analysis and the EIS.

From: Anise Shah [mailto:ashah@hgcpm.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 2:56 PM
To: Nawi, David
Subject: Federal Lead Agency Attendees

Hello David,

Chuck asked that I reach out to you to regarding the upcoming 5 Agency Meeting this Tuesday, June 28th. Do you happen to know who will be attending from the Federal Lead Agencies?

Thank you,

HMG_Capital_Logo

Anise Shah

ashah@hgcpm.com <mailto:jalwan@hgcpm.com>

P : 916.923.1500

F : 916.923.1515

M: 916.915.7337

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

[attachment "image002.jpg" deleted by Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US]